



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,944	01/20/2004	Darrick Wright	BE1-0055US	1275
49584 LEE & HAYES	7590 04/06/200 S. PLLC	EXAMINER		
421 W. RIVERSIDE AVE. SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			AU, GARY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/760,944	WRIGHT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Gary Au	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja This action is FINAL 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-6,8,10-12,14-16 and 19-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8,10-12,14-16 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 8, 10-12, 14-16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 7,053,780 Straub et al. (Straub) and further in view of US Patent No. 6,850,604 Cannell et al. (Cannell).

Considering claim 1, Straub teaches a telecommunications device (navigation device 100 or 210 – figure 1A, 1B, and 2, col. 1 line 62 – col. 2 line 2 and col. 3 lines 13-22) for use by a telecommunications user, comprising: a housing (protective housing 102 – figure 1A, col. 2 lines 3-22); a wireless telephone located in the housing for receiving an incoming call and having a first receiver (first receiver 234 – figure 2, col. 4 lines 5-22) and a processor (processor 212 – figure 2, col. 3 lines 13-22) in communication therewith (col. 4 lines 32-42); and a receiver unit located in the housing and having a second receiver for receiving an emergency alert broadcast (weather receiver 270 – figure 2, col. 5 lines 1-28), wherein the receiver unit is in communication with the processor of the wireless telephone (col. 5 lines 53-67). However, Straub fails to teach a microcontroller of the processor for determining whether a wireless telephone call is in progress, and providing a periodic reminder of an incoming call to the user of

Art Unit: 2617

the telecommunications device at a predetermined time interval for a duration of time, wherein the periodic reminder is continually provided until a first indication by the user of the telecommunications device to suspend a wireless telephone call in progress and a microcontroller for resuming the suspended wireless telephone call when a second indication from the user of the telecommunications device is received.

In an analogous art, Cannell teaches a microcontroller of the processor for determining whether a wireless telephone call is in progress (col. 4 lines 20-29), and providing a periodic reminder of an incoming call to the user of the telecommunications device at a predetermined time interval for a duration of time (col. 4 lines 61-65), wherein the periodic reminder is continually provided until a first indication by the user of the telecommunications device to suspend a wireless telephone call in progress (col. 5 lines 11-21) and a microcontroller for resuming the suspended wireless telephone call when a second indication from the user of the telecommunications device is received (col. 5 line 56 – col. 6 line 3).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Straub's system to include a microcontroller of the processor for determining whether a wireless telephone call is in progress, and providing a periodic reminder of an incoming call to the user of the telecommunications device at a predetermined time interval for a duration of time, wherein the periodic reminder is continually provided until a first indication by the user of the telecommunications device to suspend a wireless telephone call in progress and a microcontroller for resuming the suspended wireless telephone call when a second

Art Unit: 2617

indication from the user of the telecommunications device is received, as taught by Cannell, for the advantage of alerting the user of the phone of an incoming call when the user is engaged in a current call (col. 1 lines 14-20).

Considering claims 11 and 20, Straub teaches a method and a telecommunications device for providing an emergency alert notification to a user of a telecommunications device in response to receiving an emergency alert broadcast (navigation device 100 or 210 - figure 1A, 1B, and 2, col. 1 line 62 - col. 2 line 2 and col. 3 lines 13-22), the telecommunications device including a housing having located therein a wireless telephone for receiving an incoming call and a receiver unit for receiving the emergency alert broadcast (protective housing 102 – figure 1A, col. 2 lines 3-22), the method comprising: receiving the emergency alert broadcast from an emergency alert transmitter (col. 5 lines 1-28); extracting coded information contained in emergency alert broadcast (col. 5 lines 29-52); and providing an emergency alert notification to the user of the telecommunications device based upon the extracted coded information (col. 6 line 62 - col. 7 line 13). However, Straub fails to teach a microcontroller of the processor for determining whether a wireless telephone call is in progress, and providing a periodic reminder of an incoming call to the user of the telecommunications device at a predetermined time interval for a duration of time, wherein the periodic reminder is continually provided until a first indication by the user of the telecommunications device to suspend a wireless telephone call in progress and a

Art Unit: 2617

microcontroller for resuming the suspended wireless telephone call when a second indication from the user of the telecommunications device is received.

In an analogous art, Cannell teaches a microcontroller of the processor for determining whether a wireless telephone call is in progress (col. 4 lines 20-29), and providing a periodic reminder of an incoming call to the user of the telecommunications device at a predetermined time interval for a duration of time (col. 4 lines 61-65), wherein the periodic reminder is continually provided until a first indication by the user of the telecommunications device to suspend a wireless telephone call in progress (col. 5 lines 11-21) and a microcontroller for resuming the suspended wireless telephone call when a second indication from the user of the telecommunications device is received (col. 5 line 56 – col. 6 line 3).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Straub's system to include a microcontroller of the processor for determining whether a wireless telephone call is in progress, and providing a periodic reminder of an incoming call to the user of the telecommunications device at a predetermined time interval for a duration of time, wherein the periodic reminder is continually provided until a first indication by the user of the telecommunications device to suspend a wireless telephone call in progress and a microcontroller for resuming the suspended wireless telephone call when a second indication from the user of the telecommunications device is received, as taught by Cannell, for the advantage of alerting the user of the phone of an incoming call when the user is engaged in a current call (col. 1 lines 14-20).

Considering claim 2, Straub teaches the first receiver includes a RF transceiver unit (col. 2 lines 48-64).

Considering claim 3, Straub teaches the second receiver includes a NWR weather receiver configured to receiver NWR-SAME emergency alert broadcasts (weather receiver 270 – figure 2, col. 5 lines 1-28).

Considering claim 5, Straub teaches the second receiver includes a digital receiver (col. 5 lines 29-52).

Considering claims 8, 12 and 14, Straub teaches providing the emergency alert notification to the user of the telecommunications device based upon the extracted coded information includes providing an emergency alert message to the user of the telecommunications device, the emergency alert message including at least a portion of the extracted coded information (col. 6 line 62 – col. 7 line 13).

As to claim 10, Straub teaches the emergency alert message is selected from the group consisting of an audible emergency alert message, a visual emergency alert message, and an audio-visual emergency alert message (col. 6 lines 62 – col. 7 line 13).

Art Unit: 2617

Considering claim 15, Straub teaches <u>if the wireless telephone call is not in</u>

<u>progress, determining if the telecommunications device is activated</u> (col. 3 line 57 – col.

4 line 8); <u>and if the telecommunications device is activated</u>, activating one or more
interface resources of the telecommunications device (user interface – figure 3A-3F, col.

8 lines 1-5), <u>wherein the one or more interface resources include a speaker</u> (speaker
254 – figure 2, col. 6 line 62 – col. 7 line 13), <u>a microphone</u> (microphone 250 – figure 2,
col. 4 lines 5-22), <u>a keypad</u> (input devices 220 – figure 2, col. 3 lines 23-33), <u>and a</u>

<u>display</u> (display 216 – figure 2, col. 6 lines 37-49).

Considering claims 16 and 21, Straub teaches providing the emergency alert notification to the user of the telecommunications device based upon the extracted coded information includes providing the emergency alert notification simultaneously with a call in progress (col. 6 line 62 – col. 7 line 13).

Considering claim 19, Straub teaches providing a recommended course of action to the user of the telecommunications device based upon the extracted coded information (col. 8 line 59 – col. 9 line 2).

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US

Patent No. 7,053,780 Straub et al. (Straub) and US Patent No. 6,850,604 Cannell et al.

(Cannell) as applied to claims 1 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 6,728,522

Marrah et al. (Marrah).

Art Unit: 2617

As to claim 4, Straub teaches a NWR weather receiver but fails to teach receiving standard FM and AM broadcasts.

In an analogous art, Marrah teaches a NWR weather receiver further configured to receive standard FM and AM broadcasts (col. 1 lines 13-32 and col. 2 lines 52-65).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Straub's system to include receiving standard FM and AM broadcasts, as taught by Marrah, for the advantage of tuning a weather band radio to receive a plurality of weather band channels from one location (col. 1 lines 13-32).

4. Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 7,053,780 Straub et al. (Straub) and US Patent No. 6,850,604 Cannell et al. (Cannell) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 6,710,715 (Deeds).

As to claim 6, Straub teaches the system above but fails to disclose the processor includes a digital signal processor.

In an analogous art, Deeds teaches the processor includes a digital signal processor (col. 12 lines 3-9).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Straub's system to include a digital signal processor, as taught by Deeds, for the advantage of improving the automatic selection and distribution of messages (col. 2 lines 1-11).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Au whose telephone number is (571) 272-2822. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,944 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GA

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER